

## Contents

### Keynote papers (plenary session 1)

Paper 1 Page 1	Prescription – a step on the road to dependence, or a cure for process safety ills? P. Webb ( <i>Basell Polyolefins UK Ltd, UK</i> )
Paper 2 Page 11	Governance of process safety within a global energy company M. McBride and G. Collinson ( <i>Centrica plc, UK</i> )
Paper 3 Page 22	Achievements of the EC Network of Excellence HYSAFE T. Jordan <i>et al.</i> (Together with approximately 120 researchers from 25 institutions)
Paper 4 Page 32	4 years on from Texas City M.P. Broadrib and S.A. Flynn ( <i>BP International, UK</i> )
Paper 5 Page 39	ICI's contribution to process safety T.A. Kletz ( <i>Loughborough University, UK</i> )

### Safety management (session 2)

Paper 6 Page 43	Common lessons learned from an analysis of multiple case histories X. Yang, L. Dinh, D. Castellanos, C. Osorio, D. Ng and S. Mannan ( <i>Mary Kay O'Connor Process Safety Center, USA</i> )
Paper 7 Page 51	The Baker report – how findings have been used by Johnson Matthey to review their manufacturing operations C.P. Lynas, E. Campbell and H.J. Koornhof ( <i>Johnson Matthey Catalysts</i> )
Paper 8 Page 56	Risks to process safety in a contracted out environment (contractors, consultants and corporate responsibility) T. Ennis ( <i>Haztech Consultants Ltd, UK</i> )
Paper 9 Page 62	Process safety leadership, worker involvement, learning organisations and information flows J. Wilday ( <i>Health and Safety Laboratory, UK</i> ), M. Kumasaki ( <i>Yokohama National University, Japan</i> ), J.L. Saw and C. Sugden ( <i>Health and Safety Laboratory, UK</i> )

### New process safety technology (session 3)

Paper 10 Page 70	Explosion properties of nanopowders P. Holbrow ( <i>Health and Safety Laboratory, UK</i> )
Paper 11 Page 79	A software system to check designs automatically against process safety and best practice J. McDonald, B. Rieley, J. Madden, J. de Brugha ( <i>Hazid Technologies, UK</i> ) and P.W.H. Chung ( <i>Loughborough University, UK</i> )
Paper 12 Page 85	DART – the future of explosion protection technology T. Klatt ( <i>Pepperl-Fuchs, Germany</i> )
Paper 13 Page 90	Nanotechnology: results of a survey of the public perception of safety J. Wand ( <i>EPSRC, UK</i> ) and I. McConvey ( <i>UK</i> )

### Sharing safety knowledge (session 4)

Paper 14 Page 95	Learning from successes and failures R. Turney ( <i>Consultant, UK</i> )
Paper 15 Page 99	Accelerating learning through cross-sector peer reviews G. Sellers ( <i>Safety Management Consultant, UK</i> ), D. Mason ( <i>Eskdale Limited, UK</i> ) and K. Hemmings

Paper 16 Page 104	Learning from process safety incidents J.A. Hare, M.P. Johnson ( <i>Health and Safety Laboratory, UK</i> ) and B. Fullam ( <i>Health and Safety Executive, UK</i> )
Paper 17 Page 113	Learning lessons from accidents: an industry view of the opportunities and difficulties K. Patterson ( <i>Yule Catto &amp; Co, UK</i> )

## HAZOP (session 5)

Paper 18 Page 118	Introduction to session on Hazop: putting Hazop in context T.A. Kletz ( <i>Loughborough University, UK</i> )
Paper 19 Page 120	Hazop studies – a new approach? D. Limb ( <i>Nexen Inc., Canada</i> )
Paper 20 Page 130	Common problems and recent trends with Hazops A. Munn ( <i>Aker Solutions, UK</i> )
Paper 21 Page 134	Improving the performance and consistency of Hazop facilitation and recording A. Verna, S. Fernandez and G. Stevens ( <i>Arthur D. Little Limited, UK</i> )
Paper 22 Page 143	Is Hazop worth all the effort it takes? S. Whitty and T. Foord ( <i>4-Sight Consulting, UK</i> )

## Safe process design (session 6)

Paper 23 Page 149	Reducing the hazards associated with spray drying from laboratory through to larger scale including considerations for outsourcing and secondary containment I. McConvey, M. Hoyle ( <i>AstraZeneca, UK</i> ) and O. Nulty ( <i>Containment Service Providers UK Ltd, UK</i> )
Paper 24 Page 157	Repeated accident causes – can we learn? P. Waite ( <i>Entec UK Limited, UK</i> )
Paper 25 Page 162	Safety in design of thermal fluid heat transfer systems T. Ennis ( <i>Haztech Consultants, UK</i> )
Paper 26 Page 170	A simple, swift and pragmatic approach in the use of small scale laboratory tests to define safe drying conditions for manufacturing scale pressure filters K. Anderson, D. Maude and S. Wagner ( <i>AstraZeneca, UK</i> )
Paper 27 Page 179	The effect of reduced oxygen levels on the electrostatic ignition sensitivity of dusts G. Ackroyd, M. Bailey and R. Mullins ( <i>Syngenta Process Hazards Section, UK</i> )
Paper 28 Page 185	Chemical reaction hazards – an evolving approach D. Dale ( <i>Pfizer Global Research Division, UK</i> )
Paper 29 Page 191	Ignition of bulk solid materials by a localised hotspot P. Caine, S.J. Puttick ( <i>Syngenta Process Hazards Section, UK</i> ), J. Brindley, A.C. McIntosh and J.F. Griffiths ( <i>University of Leeds, UK</i> )

## Safety performance indicators (session 7)

Paper 30 Page 201	OECD guidance on safety performance indicators – an international approach to assessing the success of industry, public authorities and communities in managing major accident hazards K. Jennings ( <i>Environmental Protection Agency, USA</i> ) and M. Hailwood ( <i>LUBW, Germany</i> )
Paper 31 Page 207	Developing KPIs that drive process safety improvement M. Brown ( <i>Lloyds Register EMEA, UK</i> )
Paper 32 Page 213	Process safety performance indicators – experience gained from designing and implementing a system of PSPI's for different chemical manufacturing operations C.J. Beale ( <i>Ciba Expert Services, UK</i> )

- Paper 33  
Page 221 Determining process safety performance indicators for major accident hazards using site process hazard information  
J. Fearnley and S.R. Nair (*Aker Solutions, UK*)
- Paper 34  
Page 226 Safety performance indicators in the explosives sector  
C. Sugden, N. Healey (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*), K. Howard and P. Rushton (*Health and Safety Executive, UK*)
- Paper 35  
Page 234 Assessing the impact of ageing safety critical elements in offshore installations and how the ageing processes impact the role of SCEs to act as barriers to major accidents  
K. Hart (*Energy Institute, UK*), G. Ersdal (*Petroleum Safety Authority, Norway*), J. Wintle, S. Smith (*TWI Ltd., UK*), J. Sharp (*University of Cranfield, UK*), D. Galbraith (*Sigma Offshore Limited, UK*) and E. Terry (*Sauf Consulting Limited, UK*)

### Compliance with standards (session 8)

- Paper 36  
Page 243 Lessons from re-licensing major hazard facilities in Victoria, Australia  
G. Cooke (*WorkSafe, Australia*)
- Paper 37  
Page 249 Area classification of natural gas installations  
R.C. Santon and M.J. Iravings (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*)
- Paper 38  
Page 256 Implementing EU industrial safety legislation in Central and Eastern Europe  
P. Swords (*PM Group, Ireland*)
- Paper 39  
Page 263 Cultural hazards: thoughts and experience to guide integration of corporate EHS standards in China and other countries  
N.J.L. Gardner (*Elementis plc, UK*)
- Paper 40  
Page 271 Hazards of high flash point liquids in relation to the ATEX 137 Directive  
N. Allen (*Chilworth Technology Ltd, UK*)
- Paper 41  
Page 277 Occupied buildings: building on our past improvements  
J. Coates (*UK Chemical Industries Association, UK*) and K. Patterson (*Yule Catto & Co plc, UK*)
- Paper 42  
Page 282 The preparation of a set of process safety procedures for a global chemical company  
M. Brown (*Huntsman, UK*)

### Risk assessment and analysis (session 9)

- Paper 43  
Page 287 SIL determination and problems with the application of LOPA  
A.G. King (*ABB Engineering Services, UK*)
- Paper 44  
Page 294 A tool to estimate the failure rates of cross-country pipelines  
G. Pettitt (*Sotera Risk Solutions*) and B. Morgan (*Morgan Safety Solutions*)
- Paper 45  
Page 303 Application of LOPA and SIL assessment to a new COMAH plant  
J. Mullins (*Abbott Risk Consulting, UK*)
- Paper 46  
Page 308 New UK pipeline risk assessment codes – IGEN TD/2 and PD 8010 Part 3  
J.V. Haswell (*Pipeline Integrity Engineers Ltd., UK*), G.D. Goodfellow (*Penspen Ltd., UK*), N.W. Jackson (*National Grid, UK*) and R. McConnell (*United Kingdom Onshore Pipeline Operators Association, UK*)
- Paper 47  
Page 318 Correcting the predictions by Baker-Strehlow-Tang (BST) model for the ground effect  
Y. Xu, D. Worthington and A. Oke (*DNV Software, UK*)
- Paper 48  
Page 326 Towards large eddy simulation of LNG pool fires  
Z.B. Chen, S. Dembele, J.X. Wen and V.H.Y. Tam (*Kingston University, UK*)
- Paper 49  
Page 336 Towards a computational fluid dynamics methodology for studies of large scale LNG releases  
J.A. Melheim, M. Ichard (*GexCon AS, Norway*) and M. Pontiggia (*Politecnico di Milano, Italy*)

## Safe process design (continued) (session 10)

- Paper 50  
Page 344 Flame arresters for plants handling acetylene and ethylene oxide  
D. Long (*Protego UK Ltd., UK*) and  
T. Heidermann (*Braunschweiger Flammenfilter GmbH, Germany*)
- Paper 51  
Page 349 Inherently safer design – case study of rapid blow down on offshore platform  
V. Edwards (*bpTT, Trinidad and Tobago*),  
A. Lyon and A. Bird (*DNV Energy*)
- Paper 52  
Page 358 Mitigation of the lightning hazard for above ground hydrocarbon floating roof storage tanks  
S. Haigh, P. Leichauer (*Cobham Technical Services, UK*),  
M. Scanlon (*Energy Institute, UK*)  
and B. Burrows (*Brian Burrows Lightning Consultancies, UK*)
- Paper 53  
Page 365 Taking the control system for granted – ensuring the integrity of Sub-SIL instrumental functions  
P. Lucas, J. Walkington and T. Atkinson (*ABB Engineering Services, UK*)
- Paper 54  
Page 370 Mist fires and explosions – an incident survey  
R.C. Santon (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*)
- Paper 55  
Page 375 Development and evaluation of experimental calorimetric systems for the simulation of an external heat input on reactive chemicals and monomers  
L. Véchet, L. Cusco, J. Hare (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*) and  
M. Bishopp (*Health and Safety Executive, UK*)

## Emerging energy technologies (session 11)

- Paper 56  
Page 385 What is the overall global life cycle cost of a project in terms of SHE?  
F.K. Crawley (*University of Strathclyde, UK*)
- Paper 57  
Page 392 A comparison of hazard and risks for carbon dioxide and natural gas pipelines  
J. Wilday, A. McGillivray (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*),  
P. Harper (*Health and Safety Executive, UK*) and M. Wardman (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*)
- Paper 58  
Page 399 Towards minimising hazards in hydrogen and fuel cell stationary applications: key findings of modelling and experimental work in the HYPER project  
S. Brennan *et al.* (Together with 21 other researchers from nine institutions)
- Paper 59  
Page 411 CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline systems: assessment of the risks and health & safety regulations  
I. Chrysostomidis, T.A.W. Geyer (*Environmental Resources Management Limited, UK*),  
A. Smith (*ERM Southwest Inc., USA*), J. Fedorowick (*ERM Northeast (US) & Canada Inc., Canada*), M. Bohm, E. Beynon (*Suncor Energy Inc., Canada*), C.T. Little (*BP Alternative Energy, USA*) and A. Lee (*Chevron Corporation, USA*)
- Paper 60  
Page 416 Investigations into the effects of carbon dioxide and nitrogen on the flammability limits of gas mixtures  
A.M. Thyer, J. Kay, S.E. Gant (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*) and  
S. Connolly (*Health and Safety Executive, UK*)
- Paper 61  
Page 423 CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines material and safety considerations  
M. Bilio (*Health and Safety Executive, UK*), S. Brown (*University College London, UK*),  
M. Fairweather (*University of Leeds, UK*) and  
H. Mahgerefteh (*University College London, UK*)

## Human factors and behaviour (session 12)

- Paper 62  
Page 430 Looking across industries to improve human reliability data for quantitative risk analyses  
R. Schneider (*The Center for Chemical Process Safety, USA*)

- Paper 63  
Page 434 A practical application of ‘human-hazop’ for critical procedures  
G.R. Ellis (*ABB Engineering Services, UK*) and  
A. Holt (*Chemtura Manufacturing UK Ltd, UK*)
- Paper 64  
Page 440 A human factors approach to managing competency in handling process control  
disturbances  
D. Embrey (*Human Reliability Associates Ltd, UK*)
- Paper 65  
Page 447 Development of a process safety climate tool  
C. Butler, C. Lekka and C. Sugden (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*)
- Paper 66  
Page 455 Maintaining a strong safety culture in a development facility with rapid changeover  
and major accident potential  
S.J. Harding, B.L. Fox, S.D. Whittingham and C.F. Blyth (*AstraZeneca, UK*)
- Paper 67  
Page 462 Equipping the next generation – risk management training  
P. Ackroyd (*Greenstreet Berman, UK*), S. Wisniewski (*Wizard Associates Ltd*),  
N. Eden (*AstraZeneca, UK*), K. Williams (*Syngenta International AG*)  
and G. Gibson (*Magnox Generation*)

### **Risk assessment and analysis (continued) (session 13)**

- Paper 68  
Page 468 Retrospective hazard identification and assessment of ageing plant  
M. McBride (*Centrica plc, UK*), C. Marsh (*Centrica Storage Ltd, UK*), I. Herbert  
(*ABB Engineering Services, UK*) and C. Robinson (*Vectra Group Ltd, UK*)
- Paper 69  
Page 477 A semantic approach for incident database development  
R. Batres (*Toyohashi University of Technology, Japan*),  
Y. Shimada (*National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Japan*) and  
T. Fuchino (*Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan*)
- Paper 70  
Page 485 Comparison of the subsurface migration of LPG and natural gas  
R. Bettis and J. Fletcher (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*)
- Paper 71  
Page 492 Recent developments in the use of societal risk assessment for land use planning for UK  
non-nuclear, on-shore major hazard installations  
K. O’Donnell (*Health and Safety Executive, UK*)
- Paper 72  
Page 500 Interpretation of overpressure markers and directional indicators in full-scale deflagrations  
and detonations  
G. Atkinson, L. Cusco (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*),  
D. Painter (*Health and Safety Executive, UK*) and V. Tam (*BP, UK*)
- Paper 73  
Page 507 Using predictive risk assessments to develop user-friendly tools for on- and off-site  
emergency planning  
J. Fearnley and S.R. Nair (*Aker Solutions, UK*)

### **Human factors and behaviour (continued) (session 14)**

- Paper 74  
Page 514 Links in the chain – a new paradigm for thinking about safety  
D. Irvine (*Epiphanies LLP, UK*) and G. Sibbick (*Centrica Storage, UK*)
- Paper 75  
Page 524 The human elements of a nuclear accident  
K. Hemmings (*Sellafield, UK*)
- Paper 76  
Page 529 Process safety fundamentals training for first level leaders  
U. Bruyere (*BP International, UK*), M. Fox (*Kineo, UK*)  
and C. Watson (*Watson Integrity Ltd., UK*)
- Paper 77  
Page 536 To err is human – a case study of error prevention in process isolations  
R. Lardner (*The Keil Centre Ltd, UK*) and J. Maitland (*BP Exploration and Production, UK*)
- Paper 78  
Page 542 Safety culture; cornerstone of the nuclear safety case  
R. Cullen (*Pöyry Energy, UK*)

- Paper 79 Human error analysis at a refinery  
Page 549 J. Gould and S. Lovell (*Environmental Resources Management (ERM), UK*)
- Paper 80 Human failure in the assessment of major hazard risk: a case study for the human factors  
Page 554 Safety critical task analysis (HFSCTA) methodology  
C. Hill, L. Butterworth (*Human Engineering Ltd, UK*) and S. Murphy (*Syngenta, UK*)

### **Safety management (continued) (session 15)**

- Paper 81 Delivery of a global control of work system  
Page 562 R. Morgan (*BP plc Lubricants, UK*) and M. Carter (*RAP International, UK*)
- Paper 82 Safety in the oil and gas industries in Qatar  
Page 570 M.S. Mannan (*Texas A&M University, USA*), T. Olewski and S. Waldram (*Texas A&M University, Qatar*)
- Paper 83 Implementing improvements in process safety in Corus  
Page 576 A. Ormond (*ABB Engineering Services, UK*) and M. de Klerk (*Corus, UK*)
- Paper 84 Corrosion management offshore – resolving the problems and complying with the law  
Page 587 A. Duncan (*Health and Safety Executive, UK*)
- Paper 85 Managing fire and explosion hazards on offshore ageing installations  
Page 595 T. Holmes (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*),  
S. Connolly (*Health and Safety Executive, UK*),  
J. Wilday, J. Hare and P. Walsh (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*)
- Paper 86 Consideration of the effectiveness of the safety management system in QRA calculations  
Page 603 A. Acikalin (*BAM Federal Institute for Material Research and Testing, Berlin*)

### **Risk assessment and analysis (continued) (session 16)**

- Paper 87 Modelling the dispersion of spills in buildings  
Page 610 S. Puttick (*Syngenta, UK*)
- Paper 88 Two-phase jet releases and droplet dispersion: scaled and large-scale experiments,  
Page 615 droplet size correlation development and model validation  
H.W.M. Witlox, M. Harper, A. Oke (*DNV Software, UK*),  
P.J. Bowen, P. Kay (*Cardiff University, UK*), D. Jamois and C. Proust (*INERIS, France*)
- Paper 89 Real time followup of leaks and dispersion accidents  
Page 624 S. Tripathi, L. Ait Hamou, M. LeGuellec and C. Souprayen (*Fluidyn*)
- Paper 90 Numerical study of large scale hydrogen explosions and detonation  
Page 630 V.C. Madhav Rao, A. Heidari, J.X. Wen and V.H.Y. Tam (*Kingston University, UK*)
- Paper 91 Leak frequency modelling for offshore QRA based on the hydrocarbon release database  
Page 638 A. Falck (*DNV Energy, Norway*), B. Bain (*DNV Energy, UK*) and L.K. Rødsaetre (*StatoilHydro ASA, Norway*)
- Paper 92 A software model for assessing fatality risk from explosion hazards using the  
Page 647 multi energy method and Baker Strehlow Tang approach  
N. Cavanagh, Y. Xu and D. Worthington (*DNV Software, UK*)
- Paper 93 Quantifying the tolerability of potential ignition sources from uncertified mechanical  
Page 657 equipment installed in hazardous areas  
S. Sherwen (*ABB Engineering Services, UK*)

### **Plenary (session 17)**

- Paper 94 A technical analysis of the Buncefield explosion and fire  
Page 662 M.S. Mannan (*Texas A&M University, USA*)
- Paper 95 ICL Plastics: the lessons from the tragedy  
Page 674 A. Tyldesley (*Haztech Consultants Ltd, UK*)

- Paper 96 ICL Plastics investigation, Glasgow, May 2004  
Page 678 S.J. Hawsworth, D. Pritchard, J. Hodges, R. Parrot, G. White and  
T. Mellor (*Health and Safety Laboratory, UK*)
- Paper 97 The management of risk – an evolutionary system that improves safety  
Page 685 John Bond

## Poster presentations

- Paper 98 Practical process safety management in chemical manufacturing  
Page 693 A.H.K. Fowler (*HFL Risk Services Ltd, UK*), I. Walker and R. Wall (*Nufarm Ltd, UK*)
- Paper 99 Chemical reaction hazards of distillation processes  
Page 700 G. Arthur, C. Williams (*Syngenta, UK*) and M. Luginbuehl (*Syngenta Crop Protection, Germany*)
- Paper 100 ‘Good safety’ – a cost to the business or a positive benefit to the bottom line. . . ?  
Page 704 C.V. Lakin (*Artenius UK, UK*)
- Paper 101 Safety feedback – the real thing  
Page 708 E. Doval (*University ‘Spiru Haret’, Romania*) and S. Kovacs (*INCDPM, Romania*)
- Paper 102 Improving the understanding of process safety management  
Page 715 R. Turney and I. Thorpe (*Consultants, UK*)
- Paper 103 Risk assessment for major hazards and project evaluation – assessing thermal risks in  
Page 720 subsea production operations  
P. Ellerton and C. Chauvet (*Prospect, UK*)
- Paper 104 Risk management in the operations of a subsea pipeline  
Page 724 G. McIntosh (*iicorr Ltd, UK*)
- Paper 105 The assessment of major accident risks in Tallinn (Estonia)  
Page 729 Õ. Paas, P. Tint and M. Järvis (*Tallinn University of Technology, Estonia*)
- Paper 106 Inherently safer design activities over the past decade  
Page 736 P.R. Amyotte (*Dalhousie University, Canada*), F.I. Khan (*Memorial University, Canada*)  
and T.A. Kletz (*Loughborough University, UK*)