

Lessons Learned Database

Individual Incident Summary Report



| Incident Title | | Light Gas Oil Sidedraw Line Rupture | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Incident Type | | Fire | | | |
| Date | | 6 th August 2012 | | | |
| Country | | | USA Di hana hana hana hana hana hana hana han | | |
| Location Fatalities | | Richmond, CA Injuries Cost | | | |
| - ratainties | | Injuries 26 | Unknown | | |
| Incident Description | The light gas oil (LGO) sidedraw from a crude distillation unit (CDU) experienced a catastrophic pipe rupture, releasing a large volume of hot LGO | | | | |
| Credit: US Chemical Safety Board | to grade. The hot LGO partially vapourised and formed a large vapour cloud which engulfed 19 company employees. Approximately 2 minutes after the rupture occurred, the fluid ignited. Eighteen employees managed to escape from the vapour cloud before it ignited; the other was engulfed in the fireball but was wearing full-body firefighting protective equipment and managed to make his way to safety. Six employees suffered minor injuries during the incident and subsequent emergency response activity. A large plume of vapour, particulates and black smoke travelled across the surrounding area and approximately 15,000 people from neighbouring communities sought medical treatment over the next few weeks for a range of ailments such as breathing problems, chest pains, sore throats and headaches. Twenty of these were admitted to local hospitals for treatment as inpatients. | | | | |
| Incident Analysis | Basic cause was rupture of the LGO sidedraw piping caused by wall thinning due to high temperature sulphidation corrosion (HTSC). | | | | |
| | Critical factors included: 1) Firefighters removed insulation from the leaking pipe to enable Operations and Maintenance specialists to determine if an on- line repair using a pipe clamp was feasible or if a unit shutdown would be required (the leak could not be isolated), 2) Failure to identify high corrosion rates in unmonitored low silicon (Si) carbon steel straight-run piping (due to corrosion measurement locations being located in high-Si fittings), 3) The relatively close proximity of local housing to the refinery perimeter fence. | | | | |
| | Root causes included: 1) Inadequate design standards (ASTM A53B and other design codes used before 1985 did not specify a minimum Si content for carbon steel pipe), 2) Inadequate material selection (low Si carbon steel), 3) Failure to implement industry-recognised HTSC risk mitigation measures (conducting 100% component inspection on all high temperature carbon steel piping susceptible to sulphidic corrosion or upgrading to inherently safer materials of construction such as 5 Cr/0.5 Mo steel), 4) Inadequate risk assessment (allowing continued operation despite inability to isolate leaking pipe and failing to restrict the number of personnel entering a hazardous area), 5) Inadequate land use planning (close proximity of local housing). | | | | |
| Lessons Learned | 1) In the absence of hydrogen, the rate of sulphidation corrosion depends on | | | | |
| | many factors such as concentration and type of sulphur compounds, fluid temperature and fluid flow rate, 2) Hydrogen sulphide (H ₂ S) is the most active sulphur species from corrosion perspective and sulphidic corrosion rates increase rapidly above 260 °C (500 °F), especially for carbon steel, 3) Carbon steels with silicon content of < 0.10 wt% are especially susceptible and can corrode at accelerated rates up to 16 times faster than carbon steel with a high Si content, 4) High chrome alloys offer excellent resistance to HTSC and are inherently safer than carbon steels when operating at temperatures above 260 °C (500 °F). | | | | |
| More Information | 1) "Chevron Richmond Refinery Pipe Rupture and Fire", US Chemical Safety | | | | |
| | and Hazard Investigation Board, Report No. 2012-03-I-CA (2015). | | | | |
| | 2) API RP 939-C: Guidelines for Avoiding Sulfidation (Sulfidic) Corrosion | | | | |
| | Failure | s in Oil Refineries, 1st edition, Section 3.1.6, May 2009. | | | |
| Industry Sector | | Process Type | Incident Type | | |
| Oil & Gas | | Atmospheric Crude Distillation | Fire | | |
| Equipment Category | | Equipment Class | Equipment Type | | |
| Mechanical | | Piping | Pipe | | |